

11

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	E FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/655,740	3 09/06/00	HOWE		А	MPH 99-46
_			\neg	EXAMINER	
		QM12/0619			_
M PAUL HEN 403 MAIN 9				CHIU.I	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 508 HOLMEN WI 54636-0508				3711	3
					06/19/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. 09/655,743

Applicant(s)

Alice H. Howe

3711

Office Action Summary

Examiner Raleigh W. Chiu

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
communication.	a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this statute. Cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the learned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-15</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢 Claim(s) 1-15	is/are rejected.				
7)					
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.				
2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority description application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). e certified copies not received.				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
Attachment(s)					
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)2	20) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The submission of the references not considered fails to 1. comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. The submission of only the cover page of a patent is not considered to be a legible copy of the patent. Those references have been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Applicant is advised that the date of any resubmission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 \P C(1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Serial Number: 09/655,743 Art Unit: 3711

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-7, 9, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 4,834,393 (Feldi) or French Patent Number 2,594,037 (Musslin) and either in view of U.S. Patent Number 5,077,870 (Melbye et al., hereinafter Melbye) and applicant's admission of prior art in the specification.

Regarding claim 1-7, 9, 10 and 12, Figure 1 of Feldi discloses a tennis racquet 1 with a ball retrieving attachment 2 attached to the racquet shoulder. Feldi further discloses the ball retrieving attachment to be made from a hook-and-loop fastening system, specifically Velcro.

Figures 1-3 of Musslin also show a ball retrieving attachment attached to the shoulder of a tennis racquet where the retrieving attachment appears also to be made from a hook-and-loop fastener.

Combining the fact that the Feldi abstract discloses that any hook-and-loop fastener system could be used in combination with his racquet or that Musslin discloses the broad recitation of a hook-and-loop fastener, with the fact that Melbye at column 1, lines 15-23 discloses that Velcro™ and Scotchmate™ products are functional equivalents as hook-and-loop fasteners, and the fact that applicant admits in the specification that the

materials with the physical characteristics set forth in the claims are old and well-known Scotchmate™ products, the selection of any of these known materials to form the hook-and-loop fastener of either Feldi of Musslin would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 8, 11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) 4. as being unpatentable over Feldi, Musslin, Melbye and applicant's admission of prior art in his specification as applied above in view of U.S. Patent Number 4,993,712 (Urwin).

Regarding claims 8, 11 and 13-15, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to place the ball retrieving attachment between the three and nine o'clock positions of the Feldi racquet shoulder as modified above in view of Urwin who teaches at column 4, lines 8-13 that a ball retrieving attachment comprised of hook-and-loop fasteners can be placed anywhere along the outer surface of the racquet frame.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is 5. considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raleigh Chiu whose telephone number is (703) 308-2247.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3579.

Paper No. 3 Page 5

Serial Number: 09/655,743 Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

> PaleithWd. Raleigh W. Chiu Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3700

RWC:dei:feif 14 June 2001